UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,042	12/07/2005	Jeremy Nicholas Burrows	06275-432US1/100756-1P US	6562
26164 FISH & RICHA	7590 05/08/200 ARDSON P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O BOX 1022		MABRY, JOHN		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/08/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/521,042	BURROWS ET AL.
Examiner initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JOHN MABRY	1625
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>JOHN MABRY</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>KENDALL, JOHN</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 May 2009	Time: <u>2:53 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: n/a		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/John Mabry/ Examiner, Art Unit 1625	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: POTENTIAL ABANDONMENT FOR FAILURE TO RESPOND - Examiner and Dr. John Kendall discussed via telephone if Applicant sent a Response to a Restriction Requirement mailed on October 31, 2008. Dr. Kendall informed Examiner that Applicant did not send a Response because Applicant intends to abandon Application 10/521,042, filed on January 12, 2005.